MITCHELL D. GLINER, ESQ. Nevada Bar #003419 3017 West Charleston Blvd., #95 Las Vegas, NV 89102 (702) 870-8700 (702) 870-0034 Fax Attorney for Plaintiff RECEIVED
AND FILED
Nov 5 11 31 AM '01

LANGE DAY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

CARIN R. PFUHL,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. CV-S-01-0144-RLH-LRL

CHASE MANHATTAN BANK USA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, a national bank,

Defendant.

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO DISMISS HER AMENDED COMPLAINT WITHOUT PREJUDICE

This action was originally filed on February 6, 2001, against Chase Manhattan Mortgage Corporation (CMM). On March 8, an Amended Complaint was filed substituting Chase Manhattan Bank USA, National Association in lieu of the original Defendant.

During October, 2000, Plaintiff and CMM were ensconced in a pointed litigation arising from the April, 1998, death of Plaintiff's father, John O'Toole. The parties were actively involved in settlement negotiations. Counsel for Defendant, Gerald Waite, Esq., represented CMM in the former litigation.

The axis of Plaintiff's claims revolve around her contention that the two entities conspired to gain an unfair advantage over Plaintiff by illegally accessing Plaintiff's Trans

LAW OFFICES 13
MITCHELL D. GLINER
3017 W. Charleston Blvd.
Suite 95
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

(702) 870-8700 15

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

Union, LLC, Credit Profile on October 7, 2000 (Amended Complaint, The former litigation settled shortly thereafter and Plaintiff emphatically denied, and continues to deny, that she ever executed any authorization or credit application giving rise to the Defendant's October 7 acquisition of her Trans Union Credit Profile.1

On June 14, Mr. Waite produced a credit application, dated September 26, 2000 (Exhibit 1) which appears to bear Plaintiff's signature. Plaintiff immediately vigorously asserted that the application was a forgery and offered to submit to a handwriting expert/polygrapher. The Defendant has not responded to this proposal.

During Fall, 2000, Plaintiff was employed by Century 21. The application reflected a different entity, Idea, Inc. Plaintiff contends that Idea, Inc., was a company known to Chase, via supplemental documentation submitted in tandem with the original mortgage application more than 10 years ago.

What is additionally troubling is the nature of the Exhibit 1 invites the applicant to apply for a application. "platinum" credit line of \$100,000.00. Plaintiff's credit history, to put it very politely, absolutely refutes any possibility of qualifying for this line of credit at any level.

23 24

25

26

27

20

LAW OFFICES MITCHELL D. GLINER W. Charleston Blvd. 14

Suite 95 gas, Nevada 89102

(702) 870-8700

21 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

On October 4, 2001, the Defendant propounded Requests For Admissions and other discovery which attempted to discern whether the application and the signature appearing thereon were indeed "genuine." Plaintiff states for the record that she never signed any such application. In light of the nature of this motion practice, Plaintiff will not formally respond to Defendant's discovery.

Consequently, on September 27, 2001, Plaintiff served the very succinct discovery attached as Exhibit 2. Interrogatory No. 1 sought input as to precisely why Plaintiff was sent the application in the first instance, and if any information re Plaintiff was provided by any third-party prior to the Defendant's October 7, 2000, acquisition of Plaintiff's Trans Union Report. Consonantly, Interrogatory No. 2 queried whether the Defendant had ever had any relationship with Trans Union wherein Trans Union provided it with a "target marketing list." The Defendant has refused to respond to this discovery advising that it is beyond the scope of this litigation.

Exhibit 2 also reflects the two requests for production served upon the Defendant. The First Request is generic and the Second simply requests the Defendant's response to the ostensible application. As noted in the Request itself, Regulation B to the ECOA, 15 U.S.C. § 1691 et seq., requires that the credit grantor provide the consumer a written response containing its ultimate disposition re a tendered credit application and the reasons therefor.3 The Defendant likewise refused contending that this Request, too, was beyond the scope of this litigation.

21

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

LAW OFFICES MITCHELL D. GLINER 3017 W. Charleston Blvd. 14

(702) 870-8700

egas, Nevada 89102

22

23

24

25

26

27

In Trans Union Corp. v. F.T.C., 245 F.3d 809 (D.C. Cir. 2001), the District of Columbia Circuit specifically ruled that the Union's "target marketing lists" constituted Trans impermissible activity under the FCRA.

It is assumed that the Defendant would have indeed denied Plaintiff's application for the \$100,000.00 line of credit.

Case 2:01-cv-00144-RLH-LRL Document 7-1083035 Filed 11/05/01 Page 4 of 9

Plaintiff will soon move to California. She wishes to further consider her new life. This action has taken on an unforeseen complexity. Upon advice of counsel, Plaintiff requests that this Honorable Court grant her Motion To Dismiss the Amended Complaint Without Prejudice. Respectfally submitted,

MITCHELL D. GLINER, Nevada Bar #003419

3017 West Charleston Boulevard

Suite 95

Las Vegas, NV 89102 Attorney for Plaintiff

13 LAW OFFICES MITCHELL D. GLINER 3017 W. Charleston Blvd. 14 Vegas, Nevada 89102 15 (702) 870-8700

16 17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY that service of PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO DISMISS HER AMENDED COMPLAINT WITHOUT PREJUDICE was made on this 2nd day of November, 2001, by placing a copy thereof in the U.S. Mail, first-class postage fully prepaid to the last known address of:

GERALD D. WAITE, ESQ. 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway Seventh Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89109

MARTIN J. MURPHY, Esq. Legal Department The Chase Manhattan Bank 300 Jericho Quadrangle, 3rd Floor Jericho, New York 11753-2705

Carolyn Jo Summers, an Employee of MITCHELL D. GLINER, ESQ.

MITCHELL D. GLINER 3017 W. Charleston Bivd. Suite 95 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

LAW OFFICES

(702) 870-8700 15

16

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

EXHIBITS

Philippin MarterCur	nud		
Credit Line Up To 3:	100,000	nt second like on relegious and its leading set of history likely	in. Piu smedoj jajan,
Offer Expirest Octobe			U d
PARAMETER A SECOND STATE OF THE SECOND STATE O	A house obstacl has stands	. ===:(1)#r	Uar
X	10 47 7	A STANLAND THE THE TANK THE PARTY OF PROPERTY AND A	r erig mirroren den graften
The second	TO THE PARTY OF TH	and the little county in the second in the little in the l	
242, 21 -1154A	9-17-1951	A CONTROL OF MARK CASH ON PARTY IN THE PARTY	Garg.
WERE RUTH OTTOLE		Karen A. Pfuhj	
702,259-1710	The state of the s	2792 Builterfleid Was	
AND REAL PROPERTY.	782) 367 - 2308	At Vegas, NV 89103-3105	
CONTRACT HAVE SHOWN THE THE THE	PREALING		•
YZYZ OVITERFIELD	W. L.V. Wooden		4
Market and the party of the party of	territorial being the part of	\d. .	, 19 - 1 9
ARS600947822	1110001702		35
•	. —	PR-210358-111	三三
P 2 P 4 m m m - 1	EV PASS ACT TO DATA THE WAS MELTERN THE WAS WAS WAS WAS THE WAS	tel com	3
Amplete this form some to	indirection sparse of the common of the comm	NCE TEAMSFER TEAMSON TOWN THE Party Cour new Platinum Management and	0 1 M ne Bace + 1,65 %.*
Amplete this form some to	Carren Para Bala	NCS Traders :	0 T M THE BAGG + 1,65 %.*
Account Humbers Account Humbers Account Humbers Account Humbers	Carren Para Bala	NCE TEAMSFER TEAMSON TOWN THE Party Cour new Platinum Management and	0 R M De Bace + 1,65 %.*
Account Humbers Account Humbers Account Humbers Account Humbers	Carren Para Bala	NCE TEAMSFER It a line APR of Just the Paris Cour new Pigitous Manaecard. S) Assesse Mantan Piges	0 R M De Bate + 1,65 %.*
Vou can transfer You can transfer Commit Humbur Commit Humbur Commit Humbur Commit Address	y off your oursinating inlances in one, two, or there believes to y 2) Assessed President Paper Proper Addresse	NCE TEAMSFER TO ANY AREA OF JUST the Paris COUR NEW Pictionin Musical Card. 5) Assessed Musical	0 R M De Bace + 1,65 %.*
Vou can transfer You can transfer You can transfer Your can transfer	y off your ourstanding balances in one, two, or there balances to y 2) Assume Paradess Paper Proper Address pages according to	NCE TEAMSFER It a line APR of Just the Paris Cour new Pigitous Manaecard. S) Assesse Mantan Piges	0 N M De Bate + 1,65 %.*
Vou can uzuski Vou can uzuski Vou can uzuski Vou can uzuski Vou	y off your oursinating inlances in one, two, or there believes to y 2) Assessed President Paper Proper Addresse	NCE TEAMSFER It a line APR of just the Pain Our new Pistinum Manaccard. S) Assesse Mantan Pisses Propose Address	0 T M
Vou can uzuski Vou can uzuski Vou can uzuski Vou can uzuski Vou	y off your ourstanding balances in one, two, or there balances in y 2) Assume Balances Figure Figure Figure Address Selli Minimal Address City Ours Arrange Address	NCE TEAMSFER It a line AFR of just the Print Cour new Piglious Manageari. S) Assessat Mandan. Physical Address SHEET Mondanes and City	ne Bate + 1,65 %.
Vou can uzuski Vou can uzuski Vou can uzuski Vou can uzuski Vou	y off your ourstanding balances in one, two, or there balances to y 2) Assume Bunden Paper Paper Address Address Call	NCE TEAMSFER INTO ANY APR OF JUST the Paris COUR NEW Pintings Management S) Asserted Management Pages Supplement Address STREET ADMINISTRATION City Early	P 000
Vou can transfer You can transfer You can transfer When the state of the state o	y off your ourstanding balances in one, two, or there balances in y 2) Assume Balances Figure Figure Figure Address Selli Minimal Address City Ours Arrange Address	NCE TEAMSFER INTO ANY AFR OF JUSE the Paris COUR NEW Pictions Musical Card. S) Assessed Musical Physics Address STREET ADDRESS AGE COT FOR THE PARIS ABOVE TO BE INST	ne Bate + 1,65 %.

INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Please explicate precisely why Plaintiff was sent the noted application. Please emphasize what criteria was used and what third-parties, if any, provided information re Plaintiff to the Defendant <u>prior</u> to the Defendant's acquisition of Plaintiff's report on October 7, 2000, and, if so, exactly what information was provided ("Information" as used above connotes information used by the Defendant to help effect its decision to send Plaintiff the attached application).

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Please indicate as to whether Defendant ever had a relationship with Trans Union wherein Trans Union provided Defendant with "target marketing lists." Please indicate if this relationship was extant on or before September 26, 2000.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Please explain precisely how the Defendant acquired Plaintiff's address as reflected on the attached application.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Please elaborate as to what relationship, if any, existed as between Plaintiff and Chase Manhattan Bank, USA, prior to September 26, 2000 (this Interrogatory does not pertain to Chase Manhattan Mortgage Corporation).

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

You are hereby requested to produce for inspection and copying at the offices of undersigned counsel on the 30th day following

service hereof during normal working hours the following in accordance with Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1: All documents identified or sought to be identified in the foregoing interrogatories or your answers thereto. Please produce any and all documents which relate, pertain to, or evidence the information sought in the foregoing interrogatories.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2: Please provide a copy of the Defendant's response to the attached application. This request reflects the Defendant's 25-month retention requirement under Federal Reserve Board Regulation B (Reg. B, 12 C.F.R. §§ 202.9 and 202.12(b)) to the Equal Credit Opportunity Act (ECOA), 15 U.S.C. § 1691 et al.

DATED this 27th day of September, 2001.

MITCHELLE U. GEUNER! ESS

MITCHELL D. GLINER, ESQ.

Nevada Bar #003419

3017 West Charleston Boulevard

Suite 95

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorney for Plaintiff